

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/516,969	02/29/2000	Mizuki Muramatsu	862.C1853	1747	
5514	7590 02/26/2004		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			RAHIMI, IRAJ A		
NEW YORK		ART UNIT PAPER		PAPER NUMBER	
,			2622	7	
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/516		MURAMATSU, MIZUKI				
Office Action Summary			Art Unit				
		an Rahimi	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this com				dress			
Period for Reply			•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  - If the period for reply specified above is less than the fixed for the fix	MUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. irty (30) days, a reply within the s um statutory period will apply and r reply will, by statute, cause the a onths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be ti statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s	a) filed on <i>Ffebruary</i> 12.	2004.					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is						
3)☐ Since this application is in condi	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)	is/are withdrawn from o	consideration.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to be 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 February Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is object	nary 2000 is/are: a)⊠ a objection to the drawing(suding the correction is required.	s) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a cl a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of 1. △ Certified copies of the prior 2. ☐ Certified copies of the prior 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior copies of the prior copies of the prior copies of the certified copies of the prior copies of the certified copies of the prior copies of the prior copies of the prior copies of the certified copies	of: prity documents have be prity documents have be poies of the priority documents pational Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicat ments have been receiv Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviols</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14-Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		-152)			

Art Unit: 2622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. In papers filed on February 12, 2004, applicant in response to election of species, elected without traverse, species 4 as it relates to claims 1-5, 42 and 47.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 42 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bobrow et al. (US patent 6,529,285).

Regarding claim 1, Bobrow discloses an image processing apparatus comprising:

input means 40 for inputting image data having a hierarchical structure;

determination means for determining if the image data is a specific image (column 31,

lines 38-51); and

output means for selecting and outputting a layer to be output of the image data on the basis of a determination result of said determination means (column 31, lines 52-67).

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 2, Bobrow discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the image data complies with a hierarchical data format that hierarchically stores image data of a plurality of resolutions (column 31, lines 38-51).

Regarding claim 3, Bobrow discloses the apparatus according to claim 2, wherein said output means selects image data in a lowest resolution layer when said determination means determines that the image data is the specific image (column 31, lines 48-51).

Regarding claim 5, Bobrow discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said determination means determines the specific image when the image data has a copyright (column 31, lines 38-51).

Regarding claims 42 and 47, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 1, are applicable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Babrow et al. (US patent 6,529,285) in view of Yeomans (US patent 4,800,379).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

Page 4

Regarding claim 4, Bobrow does not disclose the apparatus according to claim 3, wherein said output means enlarges the image data in the lowest resolution layer to a size of image data in a highest resolution layer, and outputs the enlarged data. Yeomans discloses this limitation in column 1, lines 33-47). Bobrow and Yeomans are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is displaying images with various resolution levels. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art, at the time of invention to use Yeomans for expanding the image data to fill the selected area.

#### Other Prior Art Cited

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Terasaki (US patent application 2002/0037091) discloses a server including watermark insertion section that forms high and low resolution images.

Hamada (US patent 6,631,239) discloses image editing related to copy right information.

### **Contact Information**

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Iraj) Alan Rahimi whose telephone number is 703-306-3473. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30-4:00.

Art Unit: 2622

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L Coles can be reached on 703-305-4712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AR

February 20, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2609